Art Unit 3687

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of J. Alexander Marchosky Serial No. 09/910190 Filed 07/19/2001 Confirmation No. 1527 For PATIENT-CONTROLLED AUTOMATED MEDICAL RECORD, DIAGNOSIS, AND TREATMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

October 14, 2009

## PETITION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT **PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §1.705(d)**

TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS. SIR:

Examiner Vanel Frenel

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment determination. Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.705(d), applicants submit the following statement of facts in support of this request for reconsideration.

According to the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) database regarding the instant application, the delay on the part of the Office is indicated as being 1260 days, and the delay on the part of applicant is indicated as being 191 days. Thus, according to PAIR the subject application is entitled to a 1069 day patent term adjustment. Applicants believe that the Office delay was incorrectly calculated, and should be 2379 days. The relevant dates for consideration follow.

The application was filed on July 19, 2001. The 14 month date from filing was September 19, 2002. The first Office action was not mailed until after the third year anniversary of pendency. 35 U.S.C. §154 guarantees applicants no more than a three year application pendency. The Notice of Allowance was mailed on October 2, 2009, resulting in a delay of 2570 days on the part of the Office calculated as the difference between the Notice of Allowance date and the 14 month date.

In light of the foregoing, applicants believe that the patent term adjustment should be estimated to be 2379 days calculated as the difference between a USPTO delay of 2570 days and an applicant delay of 191 days.

A terminal disclaimer was not filed in this case. Applicants note that the application was not the subject of a Request for Continued Application under 35 U.S.C.

§132(b) or an interference proceeding was not maintained in a sealed condition under 35 U.S.C. §181, and was not the subject of an appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

Respectfully submitted,

David E. Crawford, Jr., Reg. No. 31118

SENNIGER POWERS LLP 100 North Broadway, 17th Floor

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

314/345-7000

DEC/cjl